

[cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:cc.watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com),
owlmon@protonmail.com,
watchmam2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 2ed June 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: Jana Mosley the private woman acting as a de facto President and CEO within the de facto; Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited. Established by the de Facto Province of Ontario a corporate legal fiction.

c/o: Jana Mosley

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited

14 Carlton Street,

Toronto, Ontario,

Geographic Location

Sent to Mosley.j@torontohydro.com

no code, non-commercial

Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. **Matthew 18;20**

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Public Notice: This private agreement has been served and recorded for the purpose of lawful notice, truth in commerce, and spiritual duty. It is subject to ecclesiastical, commercial, and common law enforcement. Failure to rebut constitutes full acceptance, acquiescence, and permanent estoppel by silence. Served in peace and honour under the laws of God, by minister Richard Henry."

Hello, Jana, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later. Maxims. Acts 5:29

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Jana, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

Public Notice: This private agreement has been served and recorded for the purpose of lawful notice, truth in commerce, and spiritual duty. It is subject to ecclesiastical, commercial, and common law enforcement. Failure to rebut constitutes full acceptance, acquiescence, and permanent estoppel by silence. Served in peace and honour under the laws of God, by minister Richard Henry."

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6–9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of October, 2024 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a

perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126, 176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.

2. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The

International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative

bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (ultra vires) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an

infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631 (CanLII) The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law

16. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority.
Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the “International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights” article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost

shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden from me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child under age, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my parents that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was “Shanghaied” by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the “Defender of the faith” and now King Charles III “Defender of the faith”. This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.

21. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

22. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Minister, police officer, government employee, or mayor, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding

any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.

28. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.

30. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger (2020 SCC 45)

reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.

31. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement

32. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

33. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be

rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I,, swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act,

desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I,, do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No

court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce;1

oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.

47. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.

48. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14

49. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contract in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
50. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
51. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
52. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
53. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall remit, in advance, a mandatory appearance and administrative engagement fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per filing or appearance involving the undersigned, Minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, his family, or church

members. This payment must be received no later than sixty (60) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and shall apply regardless of who initiates the action. This fee represents pre-compensated spiritual harm, reputational damage, ministerial burden, and administrative resource consumption. Failure to tender said fee in full and within the stated period shall constitute: a material breach of this agreement; automatic and irrevocable default; and shall trigger summary judgment in favour of minister Richard Henry without need for further notice, hearing, or rebuttal. Such failure shall also invoke tacit admission of the minister's lawful standing and factual claims and shall authorize the minister to decline participation without prejudice; initiate immediate enforcement of all private claims herein; and seek collection and judgment through ecclesiastical, commercial, or common law processes, including lien, levy, or lien enforcement without court leave. Non-payment of this fee within the prescribed period shall bar all claims, objections, or filings from the breaching party, and shall be deemed an irrevocable waiver of defense, estoppel, and unconditional judgment in favour of the minister. The parties agree this clause is binding, equitable, and enforceable in all lawful jurisdictions.

54. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the minister alone retains the right to invoke, elect, or decline jurisdiction in any forum — ecclesiastical, commercial, civil, or international — and all jurisdictional consent is unilaterally withdrawn from the opposing party unless authorized by written consent from the minister.
55. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that, this agreement shall not be construed as a joinder to any statutory or corporate jurisdiction, and the Claimant reserves all inherent, ecclesiastical, and natural rights. Any administrative classification of the Claimant's identity as a "person," "taxpayer," "debtor," or other legal fiction is expressly denied and rebutted.
56. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that, this notice is issued under full ecclesiastical, natural,

and lawful authority, in my capacity as a living man and ordained Minister of Christ. It does not require approval, leave, license, or recognition from any third party or corporate entity, as protected under Section 176 and Section 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada and supported by Articles 18 and 19 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

57. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman, with no dispute to the fact, that any breach, dishonour, or non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed a material, actionable default. Upon such breach, the Minister or Claimant may invoke the following cumulative remedies at their sole discretion: a) Immediate and unconditional payment of legal fees, administrative costs, and restitution charges, due upon demand and in no case later than sixty (60) calendar days prior to the initiation of any legal proceeding, or immediately upon the engagement of legal counsel; b) A financial penalty of twenty percent (20%) shall be applied to any unpaid amount that remains outstanding beyond ten (10) calendar days from the date of demand, and shall accrue interest at a commercially reasonable rate until paid in full; c) Recoverable costs include but are not limited to: ministerial travel, meals, lodging, legal services, administrative support, assistant wages, church or spiritual maintenance fees, and any other expense as solely determined by the minister, his family, or his congregation, without need for further justification; d) The minister may enforce this agreement: i. Under the Personal Property Security Act (Ontario) or any similar law, via a registered lien or secured interest; ii. Through an international tribunal, ecclesiastical court, or treaty authority including U.S. courts, in the event of domestic failure, obstruction, or institutional corruption; e) All such obligations shall be considered restitution for fraud, bad faith, or breach of fiduciary trust and are therefore not dischargeable in bankruptcy under section 178(1)(a), (d), or (e) of the Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act (Canada); f) Interference with the minister's duties shall be considered a violation of Sections 176 and 180 of the Criminal Code, creating further liability in both law and equity; g) Any silence, administrative deflection, or failure to rebut the terms herein shall constitute tacit

agreement, acceptance by acquiescence, and shall invoke estoppel by silence and be considered lawful, binding consent.

58. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that, this agreement has been served by electronic mail and confirmed by CC-witness delivery to third parties. No rebuttal or lawful objection was received by the witnesses listed, and thus no dispute exists. Witnesses affirm that service was duly completed, and delivery was not refused or rebutted.
59. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that, failure to fulfill the obligations, including payment of fees as outlined herein, shall constitute a material breach of contract, invoke automatic default, and trigger summary judgment in favour of Minister Richard Henry, enforceable in all competent jurisdictions including ecclesiastical, civil, commercial, or international tribunals. Enforcement may proceed without further notice. All lawful remedies including injunction, lien, levy, and foreign judgment registration are reserved without limitation.
60. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that, Pursuant to sections 176 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada, this agreement and any interference with Minister Richard Henry's spiritual, ecclesiastical, or ministerial duties constitutes an indictable offense. All parties to this agreement acknowledge and accept that obstruction, intimidation, or harm toward the Claimant or his ministry shall be considered a criminal and civil tort, triggering fiduciary and spiritual liability.
61. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that, this agreement may be used in evidence before any ecclesiastical, international, public, private, or civil tribunal as lawful, binding proof of facts, obligations, contracts, agreements, and fiduciary notice. A breach of this agreement shall trigger full private liability and shall require the breaching party to pay all legal, filing, service, travel, administrative, research, and ministerial costs in advance of any legal challenge. Such legal and enforcement fees shall be due on

demand, no later than sixty (60) days prior to initiating any proceeding or retaining legal counsel. Any delay beyond ten (10) calendar days from the due date shall incur a penalty of twenty percent (20%) of the invoiced amount, compounding monthly. A breach of this agreement shall constitute evidence of fraud, bad faith, and waiver of any defense based in equity or statutory protections. The breaching party further waives the right to assert sovereign, statutory, or corporate immunity, and agrees that this contract is governed by ecclesiastical and commercial jurisdiction and is enforceable without further notice.

62. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review and can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.

63. It is agreed and acknowledged by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman, with no lawful dispute forthcoming, that a Bill of Exchange, as defined and recognized under the Bills of Exchange Act, shall be deemed a lawful, irrevocable, and binding form of payment for the satisfaction of any and all obligations, debts, levies, taxes, or transactions related to this agreement, when tendered by or on behalf of minister Richard Henry, his family or any member of the church. You, the Respondent, are bound in law and equity to receive and honour such tender without condition, and such payment shall be deemed final and complete upon lawful presentation. You further agree that no claim, enforcement, or refusal shall be made against such

lawful tender, and that it must be processed in full within five (5) business days of receipt. Refusal to honour said tender shall constitute a material breach of this agreement, invoking penalties and additional damages as may be lawfully claimed. For clarity, minister Richard Henry is not obligated to accept any Bill of Exchange as tender from you and does not waive any right or discretion regarding forms of payment or settlement.

64. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any ambiguity, contradiction, or multiple interpretations arising from the terms, conditions, or clauses of this agreement, minister Richard Henry shall retain the sole discretion to determine which provision shall apply, prevail, or be waived, in whole or in part, for his own benefit, spiritual standing, or ecclesiastical purpose. In the event of dispute, minister Richard Henry shall also hold the exclusive right to issue a clarification, correction, or interpretive declaration, and such declaration shall be deemed binding and final. The parties agree that this discretion is lawful, equitable, and necessary to preserve the integrity and spiritual standing of the agreement, and such choice shall not constitute waiver of any other right, remedy, or term not expressly addressed therein.
65. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman, with no dispute to the fact, that any breach, dishonour, or non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed a material, actionable default. Upon such breach, the minister or Claimant may invoke the following cumulative remedies at their sole discretion: a) Immediate and unconditional payment of legal fees, administrative costs, and restitution charges, due upon demand and in no case later than sixty (60) calendar days prior to the initiation of any legal proceeding, or immediately upon the engagement of legal counsel; b) A financial penalty of twenty percent (20%) shall be applied to any unpaid amount that remains outstanding beyond ten (10) calendar days from the date of demand, and shall accrue interest at a commercially reasonable rate until paid in full; c) Recoverable costs include but are not limited to: ministerial travel, meals, lodging, legal services, administrative support, assistant wages, church or

spiritual maintenance fees, and any other expense as solely determined by the minister, his family, or his congregation, without need for further justification; d) The minister may enforce this agreement: i. Under the Personal Property Security Act (Ontario) or any similar law, via a registered lien or secured interest; ii. Through an international tribunal, ecclesiastical court, or treaty authority including U.S. courts, in the event of domestic failure, obstruction, or institutional corruption; e) All such obligations shall be considered restitution for fraud, bad faith, or breach of fiduciary trust and are therefore not dischargeable in bankruptcy under section 178(1)(a), (d), or (e) of the Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act (Canada); f) Interference with the Minister's duties shall be considered a violation of Sections 176 and 180 of the Criminal Code, creating further liability in both law and equity; g) Any silence, administrative deflection, or failure to rebut the terms herein shall constitute tacit agreement, acceptance by acquiescence, and shall invoke estoppel by silence and be considered lawful, binding consent.

66. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.

67. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement, the private woman with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.

68. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.

69. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
70. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
71. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 — affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 — confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 — upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
72. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the

agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

73. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

74. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in accordance with the principles of natural law, ecclesiastical standing, and evolving standards of notice under Canadian law, the

Applicant affirms that the private agreements attached hereto shall be made publicly accessible through online publication. As established under the Electronic Commerce Act, 2000 and O. Reg. 406/22, such publication constitutes lawful and binding notice equivalent in strength to traditional newspaper publication, in alignment with the Applicant's right to transparency, truth in contract, and public record.

75. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
76. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
77. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.

78. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
79. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
80. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
81. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
82. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting

documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.

83. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the “Ochnik Family Trust” whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

84. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

85. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such

selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.

86. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
87. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
88. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
89. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.

90. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
91. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
92. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
93. It is agreed by Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
94. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has

acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

95. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,(that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, you need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask. PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars,

or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be bad or given.” Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a ‘natural’ person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word ‘person’.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: “The term ‘person’ may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual.”

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”.

Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since ‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one. I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows:

“Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I

believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me. Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so you can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created'

terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?”

[Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.”

Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, Radin’s Law Dictionary (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Ontario has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus? A false authority!

96. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
97. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement was sent to your publicly published or official email address, which you have authorized, acknowledged, and used for the receipt of electronic correspondence. Said email account is under your control, and it is your sole responsibility to monitor, review, and respond to lawful communications received therein. Failure to open, review, acknowledge, or reply to this notice and agreement shall not affect its enforceability. Any omission, neglect, refusal, or delay in reply — whether deliberate, administrative, or inadvertent — shall be deemed self-induced and shall not constitute a valid defense. Receipt at your public or designated electronic address shall constitute lawful service under all applicable jurisdictions, and you are estopped from denying said receipt or responsibility to respond in due course.
98. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that, without objection, that in the event of any breach, failure to perform, or refusal to honour the terms of this Clear-Period Agreement—whether by silence, administrative evasion, or legal challenge—the Respondent shall be liable for all legal, equitable, and administrative costs incurred by Minister Richard Henry, his family, or ecclesiastical office. These costs shall include but are not limited to: Legal fees for research, preparation, and filing of remedy; Court fees, document preparation, administrative costs; Travel, food, accommodation, and staff assistance expenses; Time and resource expenditure as determined necessary by

the Minister; Advisory fees and church support costs. The Respondent shall remit the full legal costs and support charges in the minimum of at least sixty (60) calendar days in advance of initiating any legal response, or immediately upon engaging legal counsel for any counteraction, whichever occurs first. Upon demand, payment shall be made in full without delay or challenge. If payment is not made within ten (10) calendar days of such demand, the Respondent shall be liable for an automatic 20% penalty, with additional late charges and enforcement costs applied at the discretion of the Claimant. Furthermore, failure to honour this clause shall be deemed a knowing and willful violation of Section 176 and Section 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada, constituting obstruction of a minister in his ecclesiastical function and interference with lawful religious practice. All such expenses shall be considered fair, equitable, and binding, and shall not be challenged as unreasonable. Tacit agreement by silence, continued engagement, or administrative delay shall invoke estoppel and full liability.

99. It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, due to the emergent nature of this matter, that this agreement shall become legally binding and enforceable five (5) calendar days from the date of your receipt, unless fully rebutted point-for-point in sworn affidavit form, served to all designated notice parties, including: watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com, owlmon@protonmail.com, and watchmam2059@yahoo.com. Failure to deliver rebuttal to all listed addresses shall constitute a fatal procedural defect, rendering any attempted rebuttal legally null, void, and without force or effect. Any rebuttal, objection, or denial not properly and fully served upon the listed parties shall be deemed procedurally deficient, legally ineffective, and shall constitute failure to rebut, thereby invoking tacit agreement, acceptance by acquiescence, and estoppel by silence. No partial, delayed, or administrative response shall be accepted unless specifically acknowledged in writing by minister Richard Henry. Silence shall be deemed conclusive proof of agreement in law, equity, and ecclesiastical forum, and

shall authorize immediate private enforcement of all terms and remedies contained herein.

100. It is agreed by Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from your forthcoming from you, All obligations arising under this contract are unilaterally enforceable, and the Respondent's silence, performance, or administrative avoidance shall be considered evidence of full commercial liability. This agreement, once received and unrebutted, becomes binding without recourse to statutory courts, and enforcement may occur in any lawful venue including courts of inherent jurisdiction, the United States, or international tribunals, consistent with private contract and free trade protections.
101. It is agreed by Jana Mosley, the private woman with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Jana Mosley, the private woman having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private woman are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Jana Mosley the private woman with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 5 (five) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

John 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with you the King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!